IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.23 OF 2004

Qaneez Sukhrani ..
Petitioner.
Vs.
Municipal Commissioner, PMC ..Respondent.

...

Mr. Sanjay Kshirsagar for the Petitioner.
Mr. R.M. Pethe for the Respondent.

....

CORAM : DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.

23rd November, 2005.

P.C. :

Counsel appearing for the Respondent states in compliance with Direction (v) contained in paragraph 5 of the order of the Division Bench dated 1st November, 2001 in Writ Petition 1049 of 1989, a compilation of relevant documents has been handed over to the advocate of the Petitioner. This is not disputed by counsel appearing for the Petitioner. Counsel appearing for the Petitioner states that if the Petitioner needs any further information, an application shall be duly made under the Right to Information Act. In that view of the matter, it is not necessary to exercise the contempt jurisdiction of this Court. The Contempt Petition is accordingly disposed of.